



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/852,971	05/08/2001	Michael Tolson	507-000110US	4930
20995	7590 04/06/	005	EXAM	INER
KNOBBE I	MARTENS OLSO	HU, JIN	HU, JINSONG	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	A 92614		2154	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/852,971	TOLSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jinsong Hu	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 08 November 2004.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyare correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	. □				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	.948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/852,971

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination. Claims 1-9, 11-13 and 15-20 are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 4-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Douglas et al. (US 5,491,784).
- 3. As per claims 1, 2, 5, 11 and 14, Douglas teaches the invention as claimed including a method of providing a portable information agent comprising:

presenting a graphical representation of a portable information agent [i.e., source software object] as a part of first composition accessed by an initial application, said portable information agent comprising a software object, having state, and having one or more possible external connections [col. 8, line 32 – col. 9, line 22], said first composition providing a first execution context for said portable information agent [col. 5, lines 46-63; col. 9, lines 46-64];

Application/Control Number: 09/852,971 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

allowing relocation of said graphical object to a location outside of said initial application [col. 5, line 64 – col. 6, line 14; col. 9, line 65 – col. 10, line 21];

and thereafter moving said portable information agent to said outside location such that said portable information agent becomes part of a second composition, said second composition providing a second execution context for said portable information agent in which said state of said portable information agent is preserved [col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 15; col. 8, line 32 – col. 9, line 23].

- 4. As per claim 4, Douglas teaches the initial application comprises an email client and the first composition is part of an email message [col. 4, lines 26-30].
- 5. As per claim 6, Douglas teach said relocation is repeatable from a current location to any number of additional platforms [col. 6, line 55 col. 7, line 15].
- 6. As per claims 7 and 8, Douglas teaches said desktop provided by an operating system is an interface of a platform, said platform selected from the group consisting of a Window PC, a Macintosh PC, a Unix-type operating system, a set-top box, a wireless logic appliance, internet appliance, a personal digital assistant, or any other device connected to a network [col. 4, lines 6-11; col. 6, lines 37-55].

Application/Control Number: 09/852,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

7. As per claims 9 and 10, Douglas teaches the portable information agent includes one or more user interface components and wherein said interface components are preserved after relocation [col. 6, line 54 – col. 7, line 15].

- 8. As per claim 12, Douglas teaches said allowing relocation comprises allowing a user to discontinuous select said graphical object and place said object in a new location [col. 8, lines 10-19].
- 9. As per claim 13, Douglas teaches the step of allowing graphical representation to be moved to a new location without an action by a user [col. 7, lines 9-15].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douglas et al. (US 5,491,784) as applied to claims 1-2 and 4-14 above, in view of Pitroda (US 5,590,038).

Application/Control Number: 09/852,971

Art Unit: 2154

2454

12. As per claim 15, Douglas teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1. Douglas does not specifically teach the step of determining if sufficient infrastructure logic exists to allow said object to operate in a new location. However, Pitroda on the other hand teaches the step of determining if sufficient infrastructure logic exists to allow said object to operate in a new location [col. 6, lines 16-43; col. 7, lines 11-31]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Douglas and Pitroda because utilizing Pitroda's determining step in Douglas' system would improve the integrity of the system by confirming the implement ability of a object in the new location after the object relocating. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Douglas' system by Pitroda's determining step to make the object's relocation more accurate and reliable.

Page 5

- 13. As per claims 17 and 18, Douglas teaches said new location is selected from the group consisting of: a desktop provided by an operating system; a different application, and a different computer platform with a different operating system [col. 4, lines 6-11; col. 6, lines 37-55].
- 14. As per claims 19 and 20, Douglas teaches said portable information agent object and said infrastructure allow communication with a data server for tracking user interaction after said object is moved to a new location desktop [col. 8, line 63 col. 9, line 22].

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 3 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP §706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,971 Page 7

Art Unit: 2154

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinsong Hu

April 1, 2005

יאטוואר FOLLANSBEE PATENT EXAMINER PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100